Package 'depower'

January 23, 2025

Title Power Analysis for Differential Expression Studies

Version 2025.1.20

Description Provides a convenient framework to simulate, test, power, and visualize data for differential expression studies with lognormal or negative binomial outcomes. Supported designs are two-sample comparisons of independent or dependent outcomes. Power may be summarized in the context of controlling the per-family error rate or family-wise error rate. Negative binomial methods are described in Yu, Fernandez, and Brock (2017) [<doi:10.1186/s12859-017-1648-2>](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1648-2) and Yu, Fernandez, and Brock (2020) [<doi:10.1186/s12859-020-3541-7>](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-020-3541-7).

URL <https://brettklamer.com/work/depower/>,

<https://bitbucket.org/bklamer/depower/>

License MIT + file LICENSE

Depends R $(>= 4.2.0)$

Imports Rdpack, stats, mvnfast, glmmTMB, dplyr, multidplyr, ggplot2, scales

Suggests tinytest, rmarkdown

RdMacros Rdpack

Language en-US

Encoding UTF-8

RoxygenNote 7.3.2

NeedsCompilation no

Author Brett Klamer [aut, cre] (<<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8334-4831>>), Lianbo Yu [aut] (<<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2025-2585>>)

Maintainer Brett Klamer <code@brettklamer.com>

Repository CRAN

Date/Publication 2025-01-23 09:50:02 UTC

Contents

Index [66](#page-65-0)

glmm_bnb *GLMM for BNB ratio of means*

Description

Generalized linear mixed model for bivariate negative binomial outcomes.

Usage

 $glmm_bnb(data, test = "wald", ci_level = NULL, ...)$

Arguments

Details

Uses [glmmTMB::glmmTMB\(\)](#page-0-0) in the form

```
glmmTMB(
  formula = value \sim condition + (1 | item),
  data = data,dispformula = ~1,
  family = nbinom2
\lambda
```
to model dependent negative binomial outcomes $X_1, X_2 \sim BNB(\mu, r, \theta)$ where μ is the mean of sample 1, r is the ratio of the means of sample 2 with respect to sample 1, and θ is the dispersion parameter.

The hypotheses for the LRT and Wald test of r are

$$
H_{null}: log(r) = 0
$$

$$
H_{alt}: log(r) \neq 0
$$

where $r = \frac{\bar{X}_2}{\bar{X}_1}$ is the population ratio of arithmetic means for sample 2 with respect to sample 1 and $log(r_{null}) = 0$ assumes the population means are identical.

When simulating data from [sim_bnb\(\)](#page-35-1), the mean is a function of the item (subject) random effect which in turn is a function of the dispersion parameter. Thus, glmm_bnb() has biased mean and dispersion estimates. The bias increases as the dispersion parameter gets smaller and decreases as the dispersion parameter gets larger. However, estimates of the ratio and standard deviation of the random intercept tend to be accurate. The p-value for glmm_bnb() is generally overconservative compared to glmm_poisson(), wald_test_bnb() and lrt_bnb(). In summary, the negative binomial mixed-effects model fit by glmm_bnb() is not recommended for the BNB data simulated by sim_bnb(). Instead, wald_test_bnb() or lrt_bnb() should typically be used instead.

Value

A list with the following elements:

References

Hilbe JM (2011). *Negative Binomial Regression*, 2 edition. Cambridge University Press. ISBN 9780521198158 9780511973420, [doi:10.1017/CBO9780511973420.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511973420)

Hilbe JM (2014). *Modeling count data*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY. ISBN 9781107028333 9781107611252, [doi:10.1017/CBO9781139236065.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139236065)

See Also

[glmmTMB::glmmTMB\(\)](#page-0-0)

Examples

set.seed(1234) d <- sim_bnb($n = 40,$ $mean1 = 10$, ratio = 1.2 , dispersion = 2


```
glmm_poisson 5
```

```
\mathcal{L}lrt <- glmm_bnb(d, test = "lrt")
lrt
wald \leq glmm_bnb(d, test = "wald", ci_level = 0.95)
wald
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Compare results to manual calculation of chi-square statistic
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Use the same data, but as a data frame instead of list
set.seed(1234)
d \leq -\sin\betahb(
 n = 40,
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.2,
  dispersion = 2,
  return_type = "data.frame"
)
mod_alt <- glmmTMB::glmmTMB(
  formula = value \sim condition + (1 | item),
  data = d,
  dispformula = ~1,
  family = glmmTMB::nbinom2,
)
mod_null <- glmmTMB::glmmTMB(
  formula = value \sim 1 + (1 | item),
  data = d,
  dispformula = ~1,
  family = glmmTMB::nbinom2,
\lambdalrt_chisq <- as.numeric(-2 * (logLik(mod_null) - logLik(mod_alt)))
lrt_chisq
wald_chisq <- summary(mod_alt)$coefficients$cond["condition2", "z value"]^2
wald_chisq
anova(mod_null, mod_alt)
```
glmm_poisson *GLMM for Poisson ratio of means*

Description

Generalized linear mixed model for two dependent Poisson outcomes.

Usage

```
glmm_poisson(data, test = "wald", ci-level = NULL, ...)
```
Arguments

Details

Uses [glmmTMB::glmmTMB\(\)](#page-0-0) in the form

```
glmmTMB(
  formula = value \sim condition + (1 | item),
  data = data,family = stats::poisson
\mathcal{L}
```
to model dependent Poisson outcomes $X_1 \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu)$ and $X_2 \sim \text{Poisson}(r\mu)$ where μ is the mean of sample 1 and r is the ratio of the means of sample 2 with respect to sample 1.

The hypotheses for the LRT and Wald test of r are

$$
H_{null}: log(r) = 0
$$

$$
H_{alt}: log(r) \neq 0
$$

where $r = \frac{\bar{X}_2}{\bar{X}_1}$ is the population ratio of arithmetic means for sample 2 with respect to sample 1 and $log(r_{null}) = 0$ assumes the population means are identical.

When simulating data from [sim_bnb\(\)](#page-35-1), the mean is a function of the item (subject) random effect which in turn is a function of the dispersion parameter. Thus, glmm_poisson() has biased mean estimates. The bias increases as the dispersion parameter gets smaller and decreases as the dispersion parameter gets larger. However, estimates of the ratio and standard deviation of the random intercept tend to be accurate. In summary, the Poisson mixed-effects model fit by glmm_poisson() is not recommended for the BNB data simulated by sim_bnb(). Instead, wald_test_bnb() or lrt_bnb() should typically be used instead.

Value

A list with the following elements:

References

Hilbe JM (2011). *Negative Binomial Regression*, 2 edition. Cambridge University Press. ISBN 9780521198158 9780511973420, [doi:10.1017/CBO9780511973420.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511973420)

Hilbe JM (2014). *Modeling count data*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY. ISBN 9781107028333 9781107611252, [doi:10.1017/CBO9781139236065.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139236065)

See Also

[glmmTMB::glmmTMB\(\)](#page-0-0)

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# glmm_poisson() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
```

```
set.seed(1234)
d <- sim_bnb(
 n = 40,
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.2,
  dispersion = 2
\mathcal{L}lrt <- glmm_poisson(d, test = "lrt")
lrt
wald \leq glmm_poisson(d, test = "wald", ci_level = 0.95)
wald
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Compare results to manual calculation of chi-square statistic
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Use the same data, but as a data frame instead of list
set.seed(1234)
d <- sim_bnb(
 n = 40,
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.2,
  dispersion = 2,
  return_type = "data.frame"
)
mod_alt <- glmmTMB::glmmTMB(
  formula = value \sim condition + (1 | item),
  data = d,
  family = stats::poisson,
)
mod_null <- glmmTMB::glmmTMB(
  formula = value \sim 1 + (1 | item),
  data = d,
  family = stats::poisson,
\lambdalrt_chisq <- as.numeric(-2 * (logLik(mod_null) - logLik(mod_alt)))
lrt_chisq
wald_chisq <- summary(mod_alt)$coefficients$cond["condition2", "z value"]^2
wald_chisq
anova(mod_null, mod_alt)
```


 glm_nb 9

Description

Generalized linear model for two independent negative binomial outcomes.

Usage

```
glm_nb(data, equal_dispersion = FALSE, test = "wald", ci_level = NULL, ...)
```
Arguments

Details

Uses [glmmTMB::glmmTMB\(\)](#page-0-0) in the form

```
glmmTMB(
 formula = value \sim condition,
 data = data,dispformula = \sim condition,
  family = nbinom2
)
```
to model independent negative binomial outcomes $X_1 \sim NB(\mu, \theta_1)$ and $X_2 \sim NB(r\mu, \theta_2)$ where μ is the mean of group 1, r is the ratio of the means of group 2 with respect to group 1, θ_1 is the dispersion parameter of group 1, and θ_2 is the dispersion parameter of group 2.

The hypotheses for the LRT and Wald test of r are

$$
H_{null}: log(r) = 0
$$

$$
H_{alt}: log(r) \neq 0
$$

where $r = \frac{\bar{X}_2}{X_1}$ is the population ratio of arithmetic means for group 2 with respect to group 1 and $log(r_{null}) = 0$ assumes the population means are identical.

Value

A list with the following elements:

References

Hilbe JM (2011). *Negative Binomial Regression*, 2 edition. Cambridge University Press. ISBN 9780521198158 9780511973420, [doi:10.1017/CBO9780511973420.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511973420)

Hilbe JM (2014). *Modeling count data*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY. ISBN 9781107028333 9781107611252, [doi:10.1017/CBO9781139236065.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139236065)

 glm_nb 11

See Also

[glmmTMB::glmmTMB\(\)](#page-0-0)

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# glm_nb() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
set.seed(1234)
d \leq -\sin_n bn1 = 60,
 n2 = 40,
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.5,
  dispersion1 = 2,
  dispersion2 = 8
\lambdalrt < - glm_nb(d, equal_dispersion = FALSE, test = "lrt", ci_level = 0.95)
lrt
wald <- glm_nb(d, equal_dispersion = FALSE, test = "wald", ci_level = 0.95)
wald
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Compare results to manual calculation of chi-square statistic
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Use the same data, but as a data frame instead of list
set.seed(1234)
d \leq -\sin_n bn1 = 60,
 n2 = 40,
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.5,
 dispersion1 = 2,
  dispersion2 = 8,
  return_type = "data.frame"
\lambdamod_alt <- glmmTMB::glmmTMB(
  formula = value \sim condition,
  data = d,
  dispformula = \sim condition,
  family = glmmTMB::nbinom2,
)
mod_null <- glmmTMB::glmmTMB(
  formula = value \sim 1,
  data = d,
  dispformula = \sim condition,
  family = glmmTMB::nbinom2,
```

```
)
lrt_chisq <- as.numeric(-2 * (logLik(mod_null) - logLik(mod_alt)))
lrt_chisq
wald_chisq <- summary(mod_alt)$coefficients$cond["condition2", "z value"]^2
wald_chisq
anova(mod_null, mod_alt)
```
lrt_bnb *Likelihood ratio test for BNB ratio of means*

Description

Likelihood ratio test for the ratio of means from bivariate negative binomial outcomes.

Usage

 $lrt_bnb(data, ratio_null = 1, ...)$

Arguments

Details

This function is primarily designed for speed in simulation. Missing values are silently excluded.

Suppose $X_1 \mid G = g \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu g)$ and $X_2 \mid G = g \sim \text{Poisson}(r \mu g)$ where $G \sim \text{Gamma}(\theta, \theta^{-1})$ is the random item (subject) effect. Then $X_1, X_2 \sim BNB(\mu, r, \theta)$ is the joint distribution where X_1 and X_2 are dependent (though conditionally independent), X_1 is the count outcome for sample 1 of the items (subjects), X_2 is the count outcome for sample 2 of the items (subjects), μ is the conditional mean of sample 1, r is the ratio of the conditional means of sample 2 with respect to sample 1, and θ is the gamma distribution shape parameter which controls the dispersion and the correlation between sample 1 and 2.

The hypotheses for the LRT of r are

$$
H_{null}: r = r_{null}
$$

$$
H_{alt}: r \neq r_{null}
$$

where $r = \frac{\bar{X}_2}{\bar{X}_1}$ is the population ratio of arithmetic means for sample 2 with respect to sample 1 and r_{null} is a constant for the assumed null population ratio of means (typically $r_{null} = 1$). The LRT statistic is

$$
\lambda = -2 \ln \frac{\sup_{\Theta_{null}} L(r, \mu, \theta)}{\sup_{\Theta} L(r, \mu, \theta)}
$$

= -2 [ln sup _{Θ_{null}} L(r, \mu, \theta) - ln sup _{Θ} L(r, \mu, \theta)]
= -2(l(r_{null}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\theta}) - l(\hat{r}, \hat{\mu}, \hat{\theta}))

Under H_{null} , the LRT test statistic is asymptotically distributed as χ_1^2 . The approximate level α test rejects H_{null} if $\lambda \geq \chi_1^2(1-\alpha)$. Note that the asymptotic critical value is known to underestimate the exact critical value. Hence, the nominal significance level may not be achieved for small sample sizes (possibly $n \leq 10$ or $n \leq 50$).

Value

A list with the following elements:

References

Rettiganti M, Nagaraja HN (2012). "Power Analyses for Negative Binomial Models with Application to Multiple Sclerosis Clinical Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 22(2), 237–259. ISSN 1054-3406, 1520-5711, [doi:10.1080/10543406.2010.528105.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2010.528105)

Aban IB, Cutter GR, Mavinga N (2009). "Inferences and power analysis concerning two negative binomial distributions with an application to MRI lesion counts data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(3), 820–833. ISSN 01679473, [doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034)

Examples

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# lrt_bnb() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
set.seed(1234)
sim_bnb(
 n = 40,
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.2,
  dispersion = 2
) |>
  lrt_bnb()
```
lrt_nb *Likelihood ratio test for NB ratio of means*

Description

Likelihood ratio test for the ratio of means from two independent negative binomial outcomes.

Usage

```
lrt_nb(data, equal_dispersion = FALSE, ratio_null = 1, ...)
```
Arguments

lrt_nb 15

Details

This function is primarily designed for speed in simulation. Missing values are silently excluded.

Suppose $X_1 \sim NB(\mu, \theta_1)$ and $X_2 \sim NB(r\mu, \theta_2)$ where X_1 and X_2 are independent, X_1 is the count outcome for items in group 1, X_2 is the count outcome for items in group 2, μ is the arithmetic mean count in group 1, r is the ratio of arithmetic means for group 2 with respect to group 1, θ_1 is the dispersion parameter of group 1, and θ_2 is the dispersion parameter of group 2.

The hypotheses for the LRT of r are

$$
H_{null}: r = r_{null}
$$

$$
H_{alt}: r \neq r_{null}
$$

where $r = \frac{\bar{X}_2}{X_1}$ is the population ratio of arithmetic means for group 2 with respect to group 1 and r_{null} is a constant for the assumed null population ratio of means (typically $r_{null} = 1$). The LRT statistic is

$$
\lambda = -2 \ln \frac{\sup_{\Theta_{null}} L(r, \mu, \theta_1, \theta_2)}{\sup_{\Theta} L(r, \mu, \theta_1, \theta_2)}
$$

= -2 [ln sup _{Θ_{null}} L(r, \mu, \theta_1, \theta_2) - ln sup _{Θ} L(r, \mu, \theta_1, \theta_2)]
= -2(l(r_{null}, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2) - l(\hat{r}, \hat{\mu}, \hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2))

Under H_{null} , the LRT test statistic is asymptotically distributed as χ_1^2 . The approximate level α test rejects H_{null} if $\lambda \geq \chi_1^2(1-\alpha)$. Note that the asymptotic critical value is known to underestimate the exact critical value. Hence, the nominal significance level may not be achieved for small sample sizes (possibly $n \leq 10$ or $n \leq 50$).

Value

A list with the following elements:

References

Rettiganti M, Nagaraja HN (2012). "Power Analyses for Negative Binomial Models with Application to Multiple Sclerosis Clinical Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 22(2), 237–259. ISSN 1054-3406, 1520-5711, [doi:10.1080/10543406.2010.528105.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2010.528105)

Aban IB, Cutter GR, Mavinga N (2009). "Inferences and power analysis concerning two negative binomial distributions with an application to MRI lesion counts data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(3), 820–833. ISSN 01679473, [doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034)

Examples

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# lrt_nb() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
set.seed(1234)
sim_nb(
 n1 = 60,
 n2 = 40,
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.5,
 dispersion1 = 2,
 dispersion2 = 8
) |>
 lrt_nb()
```
mle_bnb *MLE for BNB*

Description

Maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) for bivariate negative binomial outcomes.

Usage

```
mle_bnb_null(data, ratio_null = 1, method = "nlm_countstrained", ...)
```
 $mle_bnb_alt(data, method = "nlm_constant", ...)$

mle_bnb 17

Arguments

Details

These functions are primarily designed for speed in simulation. Missing values are silently excluded.

Suppose $X_1 \mid G = g \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu g)$ and $X_2 \mid G = g \sim \text{Poisson}(r \mu g)$ where $G \sim \text{Gamma}(\theta, \theta^{-1})$ is the random item (subject) effect. Then $X_1, X_2 \sim \text{BNB}(\mu, r, \theta)$ is the joint distribution where X_1 and X_2 are dependent (though conditionally independent), X_1 is the count outcome for sample 1 of the items (subjects), X_2 is the count outcome for sample 2 of the items (subjects), μ is the conditional mean of sample 1, r is the ratio of the conditional means of sample 2 with respect to sample 1, and θ is the gamma distribution shape parameter which controls the dispersion and the correlation between sample 1 and 2.

The MLEs of r and μ are $\hat{r} = \frac{\bar{x}_2}{\bar{x}_1}$ and $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}_1$. The MLE of θ is found by maximizing the profile log-likelihood $l(\hat{r}, \hat{\mu}, \theta)$ with respect to θ . When $r = r_{null}$ is known, the MLE of μ is $\tilde{\mu} = \frac{\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2}{1 + r_{null}}$ and θ is obtained by maximizing the profile log-likelihood $l(r_{null}, \tilde{\mu}, \theta)$ with respect to θ .

The backend method for numerical optimization is controlled by argument method which refers to [stats::nlm\(\)](#page-0-0), [stats::nlminb\(\)](#page-0-0), or [stats::optim\(\)](#page-0-0). If you would like to see warnings from the optimizer, include argument warnings = TRUE.

Value

• For mle_bnb_alt, a list with the following elements:

- 9 method Method used for the results. 10 mle_method Method used for optimization. 11 mle_code Integer indicating why the optimization process terminated.
- 12 mle_message Additional information from the optimizer.
-

• For mle_bnb_null, a list with the following elements:

References

Rettiganti M, Nagaraja HN (2012). "Power Analyses for Negative Binomial Models with Application to Multiple Sclerosis Clinical Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 22(2), 237–259. ISSN 1054-3406, 1520-5711, [doi:10.1080/10543406.2010.528105.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2010.528105)

Aban IB, Cutter GR, Mavinga N (2009). "Inferences and power analysis concerning two negative binomial distributions with an application to MRI lesion counts data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(3), 820–833. ISSN 01679473, [doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034)

See Also

[sim_bnb\(\)](#page-35-1), [nll_bnb](#page-21-1)

Examples

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# mle_bnb() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
set.seed(1234)
d \leq -\sin\betahb(
 n = 40,
  mean1 = 10,
  ratio = 1.2,
  dispersion = 2
)
```
 $mle_alt < - d$ |>

mle_nb 19

```
mle_bnb_alt()
mle\_null \le d |>
  mle_bnb_null()
mle_alt
mle_null
```
mle_nb *MLE for NB*

Description

Maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) for two independent negative binomial outcomes.

Usage

```
mle_nb_null(
  data,
  equal_dispersion = FALSE,
  ratio\_null = 1,
  method = "nlm_constrained",
  ...
\mathcal{L}
```
mle_nb_alt(data, equal_dispersion = FALSE, method = "nlm_constrained", ...)

Arguments

Details

These functions are primarily designed for speed in simulation. Missing values are silently excluded.

Suppose $X_1 \sim NB(\mu, \theta_1)$ and $X_2 \sim NB(r\mu, \theta_2)$, where X_1 and X_2 are independent, X_1 is the count outcome for items in group 1, X_2 is the count outcome for items in group 2, μ is the arithmetic mean count in group 1, r is the ratio of arithmetic means for group 2 with respect to group 1, θ_1 is the dispersion parameter of group 1, and θ_2 is the dispersion parameter of group 2.

The MLEs of r and μ are $\hat{r} = \frac{\bar{x}_2}{\bar{x}_1}$ and $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}_1$. The MLEs of θ_1 and θ_2 are found by maximizing the profile log-likelihood $l(\hat{r}, \hat{\mu}, \hat{\theta}_1, \theta_2)$ with respect to θ_1 and θ_2 . When $r = r_{null}$ is known, the MLE of μ is $\tilde{\mu} = \frac{n_1 \bar{x}_1 + n_2 \bar{x}_2}{n_1 + n_2}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_1$ and $\tilde{\theta}_2$ are obtained by maximizing the profile log-likelihood $l(r_{null}, \tilde{\mu}, \theta_1, \theta_2).$

The backend method for numerical optimization is controlled by argument method which refers to [stats::nlm\(\)](#page-0-0), [stats::nlminb\(\)](#page-0-0), or [stats::optim\(\)](#page-0-0). If you would like to see warnings from the optimizer, include argument warnings = TRUE.

Value

• For mle_nb_alt(), a list with the following elements:

• For mle_nb_null(), a list with the following elements:

 $m \geq 21$

References

Rettiganti M, Nagaraja HN (2012). "Power Analyses for Negative Binomial Models with Application to Multiple Sclerosis Clinical Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 22(2), 237–259. ISSN 1054-3406, 1520-5711, [doi:10.1080/10543406.2010.528105.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2010.528105)

Aban IB, Cutter GR, Mavinga N (2009). "Inferences and power analysis concerning two negative binomial distributions with an application to MRI lesion counts data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(3), 820–833. ISSN 01679473, [doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034)

See Also

[sim_nb\(\)](#page-48-1), [nll_nb](#page-23-1)

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# mle_nb() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
d \leftarrow \text{sim\_nb}n1 = 60,
  n2 = 40,
  mean1 = 10,
  ratio = 1.5,
  dispersion1 = 2,
  dispersion2 = 8
)
mle\_alt < - d |>
  mle_nb_alt()
mle\_null \le d |>
  mle_nb_null()
mle_alt
mle_null
```


Description

The negative log-likelihood for bivariate negative binomial outcomes.

Usage

```
nll_bnb_null(param, value1, value2, ratio_null)
```

```
nll_bnb_alt(param, value1, value2)
```
Arguments

Details

These functions are primarily designed for speed in simulation. Arguments are not checked.

Suppose $X_1 \mid G = g \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu g)$ and $X_2 \mid G = g \sim \text{Poisson}(r \mu g)$ where $G \sim \text{Gamma}(\theta, \theta^{-1})$ is the random item (subject) effect. Then $X_1, X_2 \sim BNB(\mu, r, \theta)$ is the joint distribution where X_1 and X_2 are dependent (though conditionally independent), X_1 is the count outcome for sample 1 of the items (subjects), X_2 is the count outcome for sample 2 of the items (subjects), μ is the conditional mean of sample 1, r is the ratio of the conditional means of sample 2 with respect to sample 1, and θ is the gamma distribution shape parameter which controls the dispersion and the correlation between sample 1 and 2.

The likelihood is

nll_bnb 23

$$
L(r, \mu, \theta \mid X_1, X_2) = \left(\frac{\theta^{\theta}}{\Gamma(\theta)}\right)^n \times \frac{\mu^{\sum x_{1i} + \sum x_{2i}} r^{\sum x_{2i}}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_{1i}!} \frac{r^{\sum x_{2i}}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_{2i}!} \times \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(x_{1i} + x_{2i} + \theta)}{(\mu + r\mu + \theta)^{\sum x_{1i} + x_{2i} + \theta}}
$$

and the parameter space is $\Theta = \{(r, \mu, \theta) : r, \mu, \theta > 0\}$. The log-likelihood is

$$
l(r, \mu, \theta) = n [\theta \ln \theta - \ln \Gamma(\theta)] +
$$

$$
n(\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2) \ln(\mu) + n\bar{x}_2 \ln r +
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \ln \Gamma(x_{1i} + x_{2i} + \theta) -
$$

$$
n(\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2 + \theta) \ln(\mu + r\mu + \theta) -
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_{1i}! - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_{2i}!
$$

Value

Scalar numeric negative log-likelihood.

References

Rettiganti M, Nagaraja HN (2012). "Power Analyses for Negative Binomial Models with Application to Multiple Sclerosis Clinical Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 22(2), 237–259. ISSN 1054-3406, 1520-5711, [doi:10.1080/10543406.2010.528105.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2010.528105)

Aban IB, Cutter GR, Mavinga N (2009). "Inferences and power analysis concerning two negative binomial distributions with an application to MRI lesion counts data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(3), 820–833. ISSN 01679473, [doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034)

See Also

```
sim_nb(), stats::nlminb(), stats::nlm(), stats::optim()
```

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# nll_bnb*() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
set.seed(1234)
d \leq -\sin_bnh(n = 40,
  mean1 = 10,
  ratio = 1.2,
```

```
dispersion = 2
\mathcal{L}nll_bnb_alt(
  param = c(mean1 = 10, mean2 = 12, dispersion = 2),
  value1 = d[[1L]],value2 = d[[2L]]\mathcal{L}nll_bnb_null(
  param = c(mean = 10, dispersion = 2),value1 = d[[1L]],value2 = d[[2L]],
  ratio_null = 1
\mathcal{L}
```
nll_nb *Negative log-likelihood for NB*

Description

The negative log-likelihood for two independent samples of negative binomial distributions.

Usage

```
nll_nb_null(param, value1, value2, equal_dispersion, ratio_null)
```
nll_nb_alt(param, value1, value2, equal_dispersion)

Arguments

nll_nb 25

Details

These functions are primarily designed for speed in simulation. Arguments are not checked.

Suppose $X_1 \sim NB(\mu, \theta_1)$ and $X_2 \sim NB(r\mu, \theta_2)$ where X_1 and X_2 are independent, X_1 is the count outcome for items in group 1, X_2 is the count outcome for items in group 2, μ is the arithmetic mean count in group 1, r is the ratio of arithmetic means for group 2 with respect to group 1, θ_1 is the dispersion parameter of group 1, and θ_2 is the dispersion parameter of group 2.

Unequal dispersion parameters:

When the dispersion parameters are not equal, the likelihood is

$$
L(r, \mu, \theta_1, \theta_2 | X_1, X_2) = \left(\frac{\theta_1^{\theta_1}}{\Gamma(\theta_1)}\right)^{n_1} \frac{\mu^{\sum x_{1i}}}{(\mu + \theta_1)^{\sum x_{1i} + n_1 \theta_1}} \times \frac{\left(\frac{\theta_2^{\theta_2}}{\Gamma(\theta_2)}\right)^{n_2} \frac{(r\mu)^{\sum x_{2j}}}{(r\mu + \theta_2)^{\sum x_{2j} + n_2 \theta_2}}} \times \frac{\frac{n_1}{\Gamma(\theta_2)}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\Gamma(x_{1i} + \theta_1)}{x_{1i}!} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{\Gamma(x_{2j} + \theta_2)}{x_{2j}!}}
$$

and the parameter space is $\Theta = \{(r, \mu, \theta_1, \theta_2) : r, \mu, \theta_1, \theta_2 > 0\}$. The log-likelihood is

$$
l(r, \mu, \theta_1, \theta_2) = n_1 [\theta_1 \ln \theta_1 - \ln \Gamma(\theta_1)] +
$$

\n
$$
n_2 [\theta_2 \ln \theta_2 - \ln \Gamma(\theta_2)] +
$$

\n
$$
(n_1 \bar{x}_1 + n_2 \bar{x}_2) \ln(\mu) - n_1(\bar{x}_1 + \theta_1) \ln(\mu + \theta_1) +
$$

\n
$$
n_2 \bar{x}_2 \ln(r) - n_2(\bar{x}_2 + \theta_2) \ln(r\mu + \theta_2) +
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (\ln \Gamma(y_{1i} + \theta_1) - \ln(y_{1i}!)) +
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (\ln \Gamma(y_{2j} + \theta_2) - \ln(y_{2j}!))
$$

Equal dispersion parameters:

When the dispersion parameters are equal, the likelihood is

$$
L(r, \mu, \theta \mid X_1, X_2) = \left(\frac{\theta^{\theta}}{\Gamma(\theta)}\right)^{n_1 + n_2} \times
$$

$$
\frac{\mu^{\sum x_{1i}}}{(\mu + \theta)^{\sum x_{1i} + n_1 \theta}} \frac{(r\mu)^{\sum x_{2j}}}{(r\mu + \theta)^{\sum x_{2j} + n_2 \theta}} \times
$$

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{\Gamma(x_{1i} + \theta)}{x_{1i}!} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{\Gamma(x_{2j} + \theta)}{x_{2j}!}
$$

and the parameter space is $\Theta = \{(r, \mu, \theta) : r, \mu, \theta > 0\}$. The log-likelihood is

$$
l(r, \mu, \theta) = (n_1 + n_2) [\theta \ln \theta - \ln \Gamma(\theta)] +
$$

\n
$$
(n_1 \bar{x}_1 + n_2 \bar{x}_2) \ln(\mu) - n_1(\bar{x}_1 + \theta) \ln(\mu + \theta) +
$$

\n
$$
n_2 \bar{x}_2 \ln(r) - n_2(\bar{x}_2 + \theta) \ln(r\mu + \theta) +
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (\ln \Gamma(y_{1i} + \theta) - \ln(y_{1i}])) +
$$

\n
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (\ln \Gamma(y_{2j} + \theta) - \ln(y_{2j}]))
$$

Value

Scalar numeric negative log-likelihood.

References

Rettiganti M, Nagaraja HN (2012). "Power Analyses for Negative Binomial Models with Application to Multiple Sclerosis Clinical Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 22(2), 237–259. ISSN 1054-3406, 1520-5711, [doi:10.1080/10543406.2010.528105.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2010.528105)

Aban IB, Cutter GR, Mavinga N (2009). "Inferences and power analysis concerning two negative binomial distributions with an application to MRI lesion counts data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(3), 820–833. ISSN 01679473, [doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034)

See Also

[sim_nb\(\)](#page-48-1), [stats::nlminb\(\)](#page-0-0), [stats::nlm\(\)](#page-0-0), [stats::optim\(\)](#page-0-0)

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# nll_nb_*() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
set.seed(1234)
d \leq -\sin_n bn1 = 60,n2 = 40,
  mean1 = 10,
```
plot.depower 27

```
ratio = 1.5,
  dispersion1 = 2,
  dispersion2 = 8
\mathcal{L}nll_nb_alt(
  param = c(mean1 = 10, mean2 = 15, dispersion = 2, dispersion2 = 8),
  value1 = d[[1L]],value2 = d[[2L]],
  equal_dispersion = FALSE
\mathcal{L}nll_nb_null(
  param = c(mean = 10, dispersion = 2, dispersion2 = 8),
  value1 = d[[1L]],
  value2 = d[[2L]],
  equal_dispersion = FALSE,
  ratio_null = 1
\mathcal{L}
```
plot.depower *Plot power objects*

Description

An automatic plot method for objects returned by [power\(\)](#page-30-1).

Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'depower'
plot(
  x,
 x_axis = NULL,
 y_axis = NULL,color = NULL,
  facet_row = NULL,
  facet_col = NULL,
 hline = NULL,
  caption = TRUE,
  caption_width = 70L,
  ...
\mathcal{L}
```
Arguments

Details

If you are limited by the output from plot.depower(), keep in mind that the object returned by [power\(\)](#page-30-1) is a standard data frame. This allows you to easily plot all results with standard plotting functions. In addition, because plot.depower() uses ggplot2, you can modify the plot as you normally would. For example:

```
set.seed(1234)
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = c(10, 15),
 n2 = c(10, 15),
 ratio = c(1.3, 1.5),
 cv1 = c(0.3),
 cv2 = c(0.3, 0.5),
 nsims = 1000
) |>
  power(alpha = 0.05) |>
 plot(hline = 0.8, caption_width = 60) +
  ggplot2::theme_bw() +
  ggplot2::theme(plot.caption = ggplot2::element_text(hjust = 0)) +
  ggplot2::labs(title = "Power for the ratio of geometric means")
```
plot.depower 29

Conditioned on: CV1=0.3, Correlation=0, Alpha=0.05, Test=Welch's t-Test, and N Simulations=1000.

Value

A [ggplot2::ggplot\(\)](#page-0-0) object.

See Also

[power\(\)](#page-30-1)

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# plot() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
# Power for independent two-sample t-test
set.seed(1234)
sim_log_lognormal(
  n1 = c(10, 15),
  n2 = c(10, 15),
  ratio = c(1.3, 1.5),cv1 = c(0.3),
  cv2 = c(0.3, 0.5),
  nsims = 500
) |>
  power(alpha = 0.05) |>
  plot()
# Power for dependent two-sample t-test
set.seed(1234)
```

```
sim_log_lognormal(
  n1 = c(10, 15),
 n2 = c(10, 15),
 ratio = c(1.3, 1.5),cv1 = c(0.3, 0.5),
 cv2 = c(0.3, 0.5),
  cor = c(0.3),
 nsims = 500
) |>
  power(alpha = 0.01) |>
  plot()
# Power for two-sample independent AND two-sample dependent t-test
set.seed(1234)
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = c(10, 15),n2 = c(10, 15),ratio = c(1.3, 1.5),
 cv1 = c(0.3),
  cv2 = c(0.3),
  cor = c(0, 0.3, 0.6),
  nsims = 500) |>
  power(alpha = c(0.05, 0.01)) |>
  plot(facet_row = "cor", color = "test")
# Power for one-sample t-test
set.seed(1234)
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = c(10, 15),
  ratio = c(1.2, 1.4),cv1 = c(0.3, 0.5),
  nsims = 500
) |>power(alpha = c(0.05, 0.01)) |>
  plot()
# Power for independent two-sample NB test
set.seed(1234)
sim_nb(
  n1 = c(10, 15),mean1 = 10,
  ratio = c(1.8, 2),dispersion1 = 10,
  dispersion2 = 3,
  nsims = 100
) |>
  power(alpha = 0.01) |>
  plot()
# Power for BNB test
set.seed(1234)
```
power 31

```
sim_bnb(
 n = c(10, 12),
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = c(1.3, 1.5),dispersion = 5,
 nsims = 100) |>
 power(alpha = 0.01) |>
 plot()
```


power *Simulated power*

Description

A method to calculate power for objects returned by [sim_log_lognormal\(\)](#page-41-1), [sim_nb\(\)](#page-48-1), and [sim_bnb\(\)](#page-35-1).

Usage

```
power(data, ..., alpha = 0.05, list_column = FALSE, ncores = 1L)
```
Arguments

Details

Power is calculated as the proportion of hypothesis tests which result in a p-value less than or equal to alpha. e.g.

 $sum(p \leq alpha, na.rm = TRUE)$ / nsims

Power is defined as the expected probability of rejecting the null hypothesis for a chosen value of the unknown effect. In a multiple comparisons scenario, power is defined as the marginal power, which is the expected power of the test for each individual null hypothesis assumed to be false.

Other forms of power under the multiple comparisons scenario include disjunctive or conjunctive power. Disjunctive power is defined as the expected probability of correctly rejecting one or more null hypotheses. Conjunctive power is defined as the expected probability of correctly rejecting all null hypotheses. In the simplest case, and where all hypotheses are independent, if the marginal power is defined as π and m is the number of null hypotheses assumed to be false, then disjunctive power may be calculated as $1-(1-\pi)^m$ and conjunctive power may be calculated as π^m . Disjunctive power tends to decrease with increasingly correlated hypotheses and conjunctive power tends to increase with increasingly correlated hypotheses.

Argument ...:

... are the name-value pairs for the functions used to perform the tests. If not named, the functions coerced to character will be used for the name-value pairs. Typical in non-standard evaluation, ... accepts bare functions and converts them to a list of expressions. Each element in this list will be validated as a call and then evaluated on the simulated data. A [base::call\(\)](#page-0-0) is simply an unevaluated function. Below are some examples of specifying ... in power().

```
# Examples of specifying ... in power()
data \leq sim_nb(
  n1 = 10,
  mean1 = 10,
  ratio = c(1.6, 2),
  dispersion1 = 2,
  dispersion2 = 2,
  nsims = 200
\lambda# ... is empty, so a an appropriate default function will be provided
power(data)
# This is equivalent to leaving ... empty
power(data, "NB Wald test" = wald_test_nb())
# If not named, "wald_test_nb()" will be used to label the function
power(data, wald_test_nb())
# You can specify any parameters in the call. The data argument
# will automatically be inserted or overwritten.
data |>
  power("NB Wald test" = wald_test_nb(equal_dispersion=TRUE, link="log"))
```
power 33

```
# Multiple functions may be used.
data |>
  power(
    wald_test_nb(link='log'),
    wald_test_nb(link='sqrt'),
    wald_test_nb(link='squared'),
    wald_test_nb(link='identity')
  )
```

```
# Just like functions in a pipe, the parentheses are required.
# This will error because wald_test_nb is missing parentheses.
try(power(data, wald_test_nb))
```
In most cases*, any user created test function may be utilized in ... if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1. The function contains argument data which is defined as a list with the first and second elements for simulated data.
- 2. The return object is a list with element p for the p-value of the hypothesis test.

Validate with test cases beforehand.

*Simulated data of class log_lognormal_mixed_two_sample has both independent and dependent data. To ensure the appropriate test function is used, power.log_lognormal_mixed_two_sample() allows only [t_test_welch\(\)](#page-56-1) and [t_test_paired\(\)](#page-53-1) in Each will be evaluated on the simulated data according to column data\$cor. If one or both of these functions are not included in ..., the corresponding default function will be used automatically. If any other test function is included, an error will be returned.

Argument alpha:

 α is known as the type I assertion probability and is defined as the expected probability of rejecting a null hypothesis when it was actually true. α is compared with the p-value and used as the decision boundary for rejecting or not rejecting the null hypothesis.

The family-wise error rate is the expected probability of making one or more type I assertions among a family of hypotheses. Using Bonferroni's method, α is chosen for the family of hypotheses then divided by the number of tests performed (m) . Each individual hypothesis is tested at $\frac{\alpha}{m}$. For example, if you plan to conduct 30 hypothesis tests and want to control the family-wise error rate to no greater than $\alpha = 0.05$, you would set alpha = 0.05/30.

The per-family error rate is the expected number of type I assertions among a family of hypotheses. If you calculate power for the scenario where you perform 1,000 hypotheses and want to control the per-family error rate to no greater than 10 type I assertions, you would choose alpha = 10/1000. This implicitly assumes all 1,000 hypotheses are truly null. Alternatively, if you assume 800 of these hypotheses are truly null and 200 are not, alpha = $10/1000$ would control the per-family error rate to no greater than 8 type I assertions. If it is acceptable to keep the per-family error rate as 10, setting alpha = 10/800 would provide greater marginal power than the previous scenario.

These two methods assume that the distribution of p-values for the truly null hypotheses are uniform $(0,1)$, but remain valid under various other testing scenarios (such as dependent tests). Other multiple comparison methods, such as FDR control, are common in practice but don't directly fit into this power simulation framework.

Column nsims:

The final number of valid simulations per unique set of simulation parameters may be less than the original number requested. This may occur when the test results in a missing p-value. For wald_test_bnb(), pathological MLE estimates, generally from small sample sizes and very small dispersions, may result in a negative estimated standard deviation of the ratio. Thus the test statistic and p-value would not be calculated. Note that simulated data from sim_nb() and sim_bnb() may also reduce nsims during the data simulation phase.

The nsims column in the return data frame is the effective number of simulations for power results.

Value

A data frame with the following columns appended to the data object:

For power(list_column = FALSE), columns data, and result are excluded from the data frame.

References

Yu L, Fernandez S, Brock G (2017). "Power analysis for RNA-Seq differential expression studies." *BMC Bioinformatics*, 18(1), 234. ISSN 1471-2105, [doi:10.1186/s1285901716482.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1648-2)

Yu L, Fernandez S, Brock G (2020). "Power analysis for RNA-Seq differential expression studies using generalized linear mixed effects models." *BMC Bioinformatics*, 21(1), 198. ISSN 1471-2105, [doi:10.1186/s1285902035417.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-020-3541-7)

Rettiganti M, Nagaraja HN (2012). "Power Analyses for Negative Binomial Models with Application to Multiple Sclerosis Clinical Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 22(2), 237–259. ISSN 1054-3406, 1520-5711, [doi:10.1080/10543406.2010.528105.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2010.528105)

Aban IB, Cutter GR, Mavinga N (2009). "Inferences and power analysis concerning two negative binomial distributions with an application to MRI lesion counts data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(3), 820–833. ISSN 01679473, [doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034)

Julious SA (2004). "Sample sizes for clinical trials with Normal data." *Statistics in Medicine*, 23(12), 1921–1986. [doi:10.1002/sim.1783.](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1783)

Vickerstaff V, Omar RZ, Ambler G (2019). "Methods to adjust for multiple comparisons in the analysis and sample size calculation of randomised controlled trials with multiple primary outcomes." *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 19(1), 129. ISSN 1471-2288, [doi:10.1186/s1287401907544.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-019-0754-4)

See Also

[plot.depower\(\)](#page-26-1)

power 35

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# power() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
# Power for independent two-sample t-Test
set.seed(1234)
data <- sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 20,
 n2 = 20,
 ratio = c(1.2, 1.4),
 cv1 = 0.4,
 cv2 = 0.4,
 cor = 0,
 nsims = 1000
\mathcal{L}# Welch's t-test is used by default
power(data)
# But you can specify anything else that is needed
power(
 data = data,
  "Welch's t-Test" = t_test_welch(alternative = "greater"),
  alpha = 0.01)
# Power for dependent two-sample t-Test
set.seed(1234)
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 20,
 n2 = 20,
 ratio = c(1.2, 1.4),
 cv1 = 0.4,
 cv2 = 0.4,
 cor = 0.5,
 nsims = 1000
) |>
  power()
# Power for mixed-type two-sample t-Test
set.seed(1234)
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 20,
 n2 = 20,
 ratio = c(1.2, 1.4),cv1 = 0.4,
 cv2 = 0.4,
 cor = c(0, 0.5),
 nsims = 1000
) |>
```

```
power()
# Power for one-sample t-Test
set.seed(1234)
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 20,
  ratio = c(1.2, 1.4),cv1 = 0.4,
  nsims = 1000
) |>
  power()
# Power for independent two-sample NB test
set.seed(1234)
sim_nb(
  n1 = 10,
  mean1 = 10,ratio = c(1.6, 2),dispersion1 = 2,
  dispersion2 = 2,
  nsims = 200
) |>
  power()
# Power for BNB test
set.seed(1234)
sim_bnb(
  n = 10,
  mean1 = 10,
  ratio = c(1.4, 1.6),dispersion = 10,
  nsims = 200
) |>
  power()
```


sim_bnb *Simulate data from a BNB distribution*

Description

Simulate data from the bivariate negative binomial (BNB) distribution. The BNB distribution is used to simulate count data where the event counts are jointly dependent (correlated). For independent data, see [sim_nb\(\)](#page-48-1).

Usage

sim_bnb(n, mean1,

sim_bnb 37

```
mean2,
 ratio,
 dispersion,
 nsims = 1L,return_type = "list",
 max\_zeros = 0.99,
 ncores = 1L
)
```
Arguments

Details

The negative binomial distribution may be defined using a gamma-Poisson mixture distribution. In this case, the Poisson parameter λ is a random variable with gamma distribution. Equivalence between different parameterizations are demonstrated below:

```
# Define constants and their relationships
n < -10000dispersion <- 8
mu < -4p <- dispersion / (dispersion + mu)
q <- mu / (mu + dispersion)
variance <- mu + (mu^2 / dispersion)
rate <- p / (1 - p)scale \leftarrow (1 - p) / p
# alternative formula for mu
mu\_alt \leftarrow (dispersion \star (1 - p)) / p
stopifnot(isTRUE(all.equal(mu, mu_alt)))
set.seed(20240321)
# Using built-in rnbinom with dispersion and mean
w \le -rnbinom(n = n, size = dispersion, mu = mu)
# Using gamma-Poisson mixture with gamma rate parameter
x \leftarrow \text{rpois}n = n,
  lambda = rgamma(n = n, shape = dispersion, rate = rate)\lambda# Using gamma-Poisson mixture with gamma scale parameter
y \le - rpois(
 n = n,
 lambda = rgamma(n = n, shape = dispersion, scale = scale)\mathcal{L}# Using gamma-Poisson mixture with multiplicative mean and
# gamma scale parameter
z <- rpois(
 n = n,
 lambda = mu * rgamma(n = n, shape = dispersion, scale = 1/dispersion)
)
# Compare CDFs
par(mar=c(4,4,1,1))
plot(
 x = sort(w),
  y = (1:n)/n,
  xlim = range(c(w,x,y,z)),ylim = c(0,1),col = 'green',
  1wd = 4,
  type = 'l',
```
sim_bnb 39

```
main = 'CDF')
lines(x = sort(x), y = (1:n)/n, col = 'red', lwd = 2)lines(x = sort(y), y = (1:n)/n, col = 'yellow', lwd = 1.5)lines(x = sort(z), y = (1:n)/n, col = 'black')
```


The BNB distribution is implemented by compounding two conditionally independent Poisson random variables $X_1 | G = g \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu g)$ and $X_2 | G = g \sim \text{Poisson}(r \mu g)$ with a gamma random variable $G \sim \text{Gamma}(\theta, \theta^{-1})$. The probability mass function for the joint distribution of X_1, X_2 is

$$
P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2) = \frac{\Gamma(x_1 + x_2 + \theta)}{(\mu + r\mu + \theta)^{x_1 + x_2 + \theta}} \frac{\mu^{x_1}}{x_1!} \frac{(r\mu)^{x_2}}{x_2!} \frac{\theta^{\theta}}{\Gamma(\theta)}
$$

where $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq 0}$ are specific values of the count outcomes, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is the dispersion parameter which controls the dispersion and level of correlation between the two samples (otherwise known as the shape parameter of the gamma distribution), $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is the mean parameter, and $r = \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is the ratio parameter representing the multiplicative change in the mean of the second sample relative to the first sample. G denotes the random subject effect and the gamma distribution scale parameter is assumed to be the inverse of the dispersion parameter (θ^{-1}) for identifiability.

Correlation decreases from 1 to 0 as the dispersion parameter increases from 0 to infinity. For a given dispersion, increasing means also increases the correlation. See 'Examples' for a demonstration.

See 'Details' in [sim_nb\(\)](#page-48-1) for additional information on the negative binomial distribution.

Value

If nsims = 1 and the number of unique parameter combinations is one, the following objects are returned:

• If return_type = "list", a list:

• If return_type = "data.frame", a data frame:

If nsims > 1 or the number of unique parameter combinations is greater than one, each object described above is returned in a list-column named data in a depower simulation data frame:

References

Yu L, Fernandez S, Brock G (2020). "Power analysis for RNA-Seq differential expression studies using generalized linear mixed effects models." *BMC Bioinformatics*, 21(1), 198. ISSN 1471-2105, [doi:10.1186/s1285902035417.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-020-3541-7)

Rettiganti M, Nagaraja HN (2012). "Power Analyses for Negative Binomial Models with Application to Multiple Sclerosis Clinical Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 22(2), 237–259. ISSN 1054-3406, 1520-5711, [doi:10.1080/10543406.2010.528105.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2010.528105)

Aban IB, Cutter GR, Mavinga N (2009). "Inferences and power analysis concerning two negative binomial distributions with an application to MRI lesion counts data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(3), 820–833. ISSN 01679473, [doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034)

See Also

[sim_nb\(\)](#page-48-1), [stats::rpois\(\)](#page-0-0), [stats::rgamma\(\)](#page-0-0), [stats::rnbinom\(\)](#page-0-0)


```
# Paired two-sample data returned in a data frame
sim_bnb(
 n = 10,
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.6,
 dispersion = 3,
 nsims = 1,return_type = "data.frame"
\lambda# Paired two-sample data returned in a list
sim_bnb(
  n = 10,
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.6,
 dispersion = 3,
  nsims = 1,return_type = "list"
\mathcal{L}# Two simulations of paired two-sample data
# returned as a list of data frames
sim_bnb(
 n = 10,mean1 = 10,
  ratio = 1.6,
  dispersion = 3,
  nsims = 2,return_type = "data.frame"
)
# Two simulations of Paired two-sample data
# returned as a list of lists
sim_bnb(
 n = 10,
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.6,
  dispersion = 3,
  nsims = 2,return_type = "list"
)
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Visualization of the BNB distribution as dispersion varies.
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
set.seed(1234)
data <- lapply(
 X = c(1, 10, 100, 1000),
 FUN = function(x) {
   d <- sim_bnb(
      n = 1000,mean1 = 10,
```

```
ratio = 1.5,
      dispersion = x,
      nsims = 1,
      return_type = "data.frame"
   )
    cor < - cor(x = d[d$condition == "1", ]$value,y = d[d$condition == "2", ]$value\lambdacbind(dispersion = x, correlation = cor, d)}
)
data \leq do.call(what = "rbind", args = data)
ggplot2::ggplot(
  data = data,
  mapping = ggplot2::aes(x = value, fill = condition)) +
  ggplot2::facet_wrap(
   facets = ggplot2::vars(.data$dispersion),
   ncol = 2,
   labeller = ggplot2::labeller(.rows = ggplot2::label_both)
  ) +ggplot2::geom_density(alpha = 0.3) +
  ggplot2::coord\_cartesian(xlim = c(0, 60)) +ggplot2::geom_text(
   mapping = ggplot2::aes(x = 30,
     y = 0.12,
     label = paste0("Correlation: ", round(correlation, 2))
   ),
    check_overlap = TRUE
  ) +
  ggplot2::labs(
   x = "Value",
   y = "Density",
   fill = "Condition",
    caption = "Mean1=10, Mean2=15, ratio=1.5"
  )
```
sim_log_lognormal *Simulate data from a normal distribution*

Description

Simulate data from the log transformed lognormal distribution (i.e. a normal distribution). This function handles all three cases:

1. One-sample data

- 2. Dependent two-sample data
- 3. Independent two-sample data

Usage

```
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1,
 n2 = NULL,ratio,
 cv1,
 cv2 = NULL,cor = 0,
 nsims = 1L,return_type = "list",
 ncores = 1L,
 messages = TRUE
\mathcal{L}
```
Arguments

Details

Based on assumed characteristics of the original lognormal distribution, data is simulated from the corresponding log-transformed (normal) distribution. This simulated data is suitable for assessing power of a hypothesis for the geometric mean or ratio of geometric means from the original lognormal data.

This method can also be useful for other population distributions which are positive and where it makes sense to describe the ratio of geometric means. However, the lognormal distribution is theoretically correct in the sense that you can log transform to a normal distribution, compute the summary statistic, then apply the inverse transformation to summarize on the original lognormal scale.

Let $GM(\cdot)$ be the geometric mean and $AM(\cdot)$ be the arithmetic mean. For independent lognormal samples X_1 and X_2

$$
Fold Change = \frac{GM(X_2)}{GM(X_1)}
$$

For dependent lognormal samples X_1 and X_2

$$
Fold Change = GM\left(\frac{X_2}{X_1}\right)
$$

Unlike ratios and the arithmetic mean, for equal sample sizes of X_1 and X_2 it follows that $\frac{GM(X_2)}{GM(X_1)}$ = $GM\left(\frac{X_2}{X_1}\right) = e^{AM(\ln X_2) - AM(\ln X_1)} = e^{AM(\ln X_2 - \ln X_1)}.$

The coefficient of variation (CV) for X is defined as

$$
CV = \frac{SD(X)}{AM(X)}
$$

The relationship between sample statistics for the original lognormal data (X) and the natural logged data ($\ln X$) are

$$
AM(X) = e^{AM(\ln X) + \frac{Var(\ln X)}{2}}
$$

\n
$$
GM(X) = e^{AM(\ln X)}
$$

\n
$$
Var(X) = AM(X)^{2} (e^{Var(\ln X)} - 1)
$$

\n
$$
CV(X) = \frac{\sqrt{AM(X)^{2} (e^{Var(\ln X)} - 1)}}{AM(X)}
$$

\n
$$
= \sqrt{e^{Var(\ln X)} - 1}
$$

and

$$
AM(\ln X) = \ln \left(\frac{AM(X)}{\sqrt{CV(X)^2 + 1}} \right)
$$

\n
$$
Var(\ln X) = \ln(CV(X)^2 + 1)
$$

\n
$$
Cor(\ln X_1, \ln X_2) = \frac{\ln (Cor(X_1, X_2)CV(X_1)CV(X_2) + 1)}{SD(\ln X_1)SD(\ln X_2)}
$$

Based on the properties of correlation and variance,

$$
Var(X_2 - X_1) = Var(X_1) + Var(X_2) - 2Cov(X_1, X_2)
$$

= $Var(X_1) + Var(X_2) - 2Cor(X_1, X_2)SD(X_1)SD(X_2)$

$$
SD(X_2 - X_1) = \sqrt{Var(X_2 - X_1)}
$$

The standard deviation of the differences gets smaller the more positive the correlation and conversely gets larger the more negative the correlation. For the special case where the two samples are uncorrelated and each has the same variance, it follows that

$$
Var(X_2 - X_1) = \sigma^2 + \sigma^2
$$

$$
SD(X_2 - X_1) = \sqrt{2}\sigma
$$

Value

If nsims = 1 and the number of unique parameter combinations is one, the following objects are returned:

• If one-sample data with return_type = "list", a list:

Slot Name Description 1 One sample of simulated normal values.

• If one-sample data with return_type = "data.frame", a data frame:

• If two-sample data with return_type = "list", a list:

• If two-sample data with return_type = "data.frame", a data frame:

If nsims > 1 or the number of unique parameter combinations is greater than one, each object described above is returned in data frame, located in a list-column named data.

• If one-sample data, a data frame:

• If two-sample data, a data frame:

References

Julious SA (2004). "Sample sizes for clinical trials with Normal data." *Statistics in Medicine*, 23(12), 1921–1986. [doi:10.1002/sim.1783.](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1783)

Hauschke D, Steinijans VW, Diletti E, Burke M (1992). "Sample size determination for bioequivalence assessment using a multiplicative model." *Journal of Pharmacokinetics and Biopharmaceutics*, 20(5), 557–561. ISSN 0090-466X, [doi:10.1007/BF01061471.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01061471)

Johnson NL, Kotz S, Balakrishnan N (1994). *Continuous univariate distributions*, Wiley series in probability and mathematical statistics, 2nd ed edition. Wiley, New York. ISBN 9780471584957 9780471584940.

See Also

[stats::rnorm\(\)](#page-0-0), [mvnfast::rmvn\(\)](#page-0-0)

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# sim_log_lognormal() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
# Independent two-sample data returned in a data frame
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 10,
 n2 = 10,
 ratio = 1.3,
 cv1 = 0.35,
 cv2 = 0.35,
 cor = 0,
 nsims = 1,return_type = "data.frame"
)
# Independent two-sample data returned in a list
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 10,
 n2 = 10,
 ratio = 1.3,
 cv1 = 0.35,
 cv2 = 0.35.
 cor = 0,
 nsims = 1,return_type = "list"
\lambda# Dependent two-sample data returned in a data frame
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 10,
 n2 = 10,
 ratio = 1.3,
 cv1 = 0.35,
 cv2 = 0.35,
 cor = 0.4,
 nsims = 1,
  return_type = "data.frame"
\lambda# Dependent two-sample data returned in a list
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 10,
 n2 = 10,
 ratio = 1.3,
 cv1 = 0.35,
```

```
cv2 = 0.35,
  cor = 0.4,
 nsims = 1,
  return_type = "list"
)
# One-sample data returned in a data frame
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.3,
 cv1 = 0.35,
 nsims = 1,return_type = "data.frame"
\mathcal{L}# One-sample data returned in a list
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.3,
 cv1 = 0.35,
 nsims = 1,return_type = "list"
\lambda# Independent two-sample data: two simulations for four parameter combinations.
# Returned as a list-column of lists within a data frame
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = c(10, 20),
 n2 = c(10, 20),
 ratio = 1.3,
 cv1 = 0.35,
 cv2 = 0.35,
 cor = 0,
 nsims = 2,return_type = "list"
\lambda# Dependent two-sample data: two simulations for two parameter combinations.
# Returned as a list-column of lists within a data frame
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = c(10, 20),
 n2 = c(10, 20),
 ratio = 1.3,
 cv1 = 0.35,
 cv2 = 0.35,
 cor = 0.4,
 nsims = 2,return_type = "list"
)
# One-sample data: two simulations for two parameter combinations
# Returned as a list-column of lists within a data frame
sim_log_lognormal(
```
sim_nb 49

```
n1 = c(10, 20),
 ratio = 1.3,
 cv1 = 0.35,
 nsims = 2,return_type = "list"
)
```
sim_nb *Simulate data from a NB distribution*

Description

Simulate data from two independent negative binomial (NB) distributions. For paired data, see [sim_bnb\(\)](#page-35-1).

Usage

```
sim_nb(
 n1,
 n2 = n1,
 mean1,
 mean2,
 ratio,
 dispersion1,
 dispersion2 = dispersion1,
 nsims = 1L,return_type = "list",
 max\_zeros = 0.99,
 ncores = 1L
)
```
Arguments

Details

The negative binomial distribution has many parameterizations. In the regression modeling context, it is common to specify the distribution in terms of its mean and dispersion. We use the following probability mass function:

$$
P(X = x) = {x + \theta - 1 \choose x} \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta + \mu}\right)^{\theta} \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu + \theta}\right)^{x}
$$

$$
= \frac{\Gamma(x + \theta)}{x!\Gamma(\theta)} \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta + \mu}\right)^{\theta} \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu + \theta}\right)^{x}
$$

$$
= \frac{\Gamma(x + \theta)}{(\theta + \mu)^{\theta + x}} \frac{\theta^{\theta}}{\Gamma(\theta)} \frac{\mu^{x}}{x!}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq 0}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is the dispersion parameter, and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ is the mean. This is analogous to the typical formulation where X is counting x failures given θ successes and $p = \frac{\theta}{\theta + \mu}$ is the probability of success on each trial. It follows that $E(X) = \mu$ and $Var(X) = \mu + \frac{\mu^2}{\theta}$ $\frac{\iota}{\theta}$. The θ parameter describes the 'dispersion' among observations. Smaller values of θ lead to overdispersion and larger values of θ decrease the overdispersion, eventually converging to the Poisson distribution.

Described above is the 'indirect quadratic parameterization' of the negative binomial distribution, which is commonly found in the R ecosystem. However, it is somewhat counterintuitive because the smaller θ gets, the larger the overdispersion. The 'direct quadratic parameterization' of the negative binomial distribution may be found in some R packages and other languages such as SAS

and Stata. The direct parameterization is defined by substituting $\alpha = \frac{1}{\theta}$ ($\alpha > 0$) which results in $Var(X) = \mu + \alpha \mu^2$. In this case, the larger α gets the larger the overdispersion, and the Poisson distribution is a special case of the negative binomial distribution where $\alpha = 0$.

A general class of negative binomial models may be defined with mean μ and variance $\mu + \alpha \mu^p$. The 'linear parameterization' is then found by setting $p = 1$, resulting in $Var(X) = \mu + \alpha \mu$. It's common to label the linear parameterization as 'NB1' and the direct quadratic parameterization as 'NB2'.

See 'Details' in [sim_bnb\(\)](#page-35-1) for additional information on the gamma-Poisson mixture formulation of the negative binomial distribution.

Value

If nsims = 1 and the number of unique parameter combinations is one, the following objects are returned:

• If return_type = "list", a list:

• If return_type = "data.frame", a data frame:

If nsims > 1 or the number of unique parameter combinations is greater than one, each object described above is returned in a list-column named data in a depower simulation data frame:

References

Yu L, Fernandez S, Brock G (2017). "Power analysis for RNA-Seq differential expression studies." *BMC Bioinformatics*, 18(1), 234. ISSN 1471-2105, [doi:10.1186/s1285901716482.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1648-2)

Rettiganti M, Nagaraja HN (2012). "Power Analyses for Negative Binomial Models with Application to Multiple Sclerosis Clinical Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 22(2), 237–259. ISSN 1054-3406, 1520-5711, [doi:10.1080/10543406.2010.528105.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2010.528105)

Aban IB, Cutter GR, Mavinga N (2009). "Inferences and power analysis concerning two negative binomial distributions with an application to MRI lesion counts data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(3), 820–833. ISSN 01679473, [doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034)

Hilbe JM (2011). *Negative Binomial Regression*, 2 edition. Cambridge University Press. ISBN 9780521198158 9780511973420, [doi:10.1017/CBO9780511973420.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511973420)

Hilbe JM (2014). *Modeling count data*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY. ISBN 9781107028333 9781107611252, [doi:10.1017/CBO9781139236065.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139236065)

Cameron AC, Trivedi PK (2013). *Regression Analysis of Count Data*, Econometric Society Monographs, 2 edition. Cambridge University Press. [doi:10.1017/CBO9781139013567.](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139013567)

See Also

[sim_bnb\(\)](#page-35-1), [stats::rnbinom\(\)](#page-0-0)

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# sim_nb() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
# Independent two-sample NB data returned in a data frame
sim_nb(
 n1 = 10,
 mean1 = 5,
  ratio = 1.6,
  dispersion1 = 0.5,
  dispersion2 = 0.5,
  nsims = 1,
  return_type = "data.frame"
)
# Independent two-sample NB data returned in a list
sim_nb(
 n1 = 10,
  mean1 = 5,
 ratio = 1.6,
  dispersion1 = 0.5,
  dispersion2 = 0.5,
  nsims = 1,
  return_type = "list"
)
# Two simulations of independent two-sample data
# returned as a list of data frames
sim_nb(
 n1 = 10,
```


$\sin\theta$ 53

```
mean1 = 5,
  ratio = 1.6,
  dispersion1 = 0.5,
  dispersion2 = 0.5,
  nsims = 2,return_type = "data.frame"
)
# Two simulations of independent two-sample data
# returned as a list of lists
sim_nb(
 n1 = 10,
  mean1 = 5,
  ratio = 1.6,
  dispersion1 = 0.5,
  dispersion2 = 0.5,
 nsims = 2,return_type = "list"
)
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Visualization of the NB distribution as dispersion varies between groups.
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
disp <- expand.grid(c(1, 10, 100), c(1, 10, 100))
set.seed(1234)
data <- mapply(
  FUN = function(disp1, disp2) {
   d \leq -\sin_n bn1 = 1000,mean1 = 10,
      ratio = 1.5,
      dispersion1 = disp1,
      dispersion2 = disp2,
     nsims = 1,return_type = "data.frame"
   \lambdacbind(dispersion1 = disp1, dispersion2 = disp2, d)
  },
  disp1 = disp[1]],
  disp2 = disp[[2]],
  SIMPLIFY = FALSE
)
data <- do.call(what = "rbind", args = data)
ggplot2::ggplot(
  data = data,mapping = ggplot2::aes(x = value, fill = condition)) +ggplot2::facet_grid(
   rows = ggplot2::vars(.data$dispersion2),
    cols = ggplot2::vars(.data$dispersion1),
    labeller = ggplot2::labeller(
```

```
.rows = ggplot2::label_both,
   .cols = ggplot2::label_both
 )
) +ggplot2::geom\_density(alpha = 0.3) +ggplot2::coord\_cartesian(xlim = c(0, 50)) +ggplot2::labs(
 x = "Value",y = "Density",
 fill = "Condition",
 caption = "Mean1=10, Mean2=15, ratio=1.5"
\overline{)}
```
t_test_paired *Paired and one-sample t-Tests*

Description

Performs paired and one-sample t-Tests.

Usage

```
t_test_paired(data, alternative = "two.sided", ci_level = NULL, mean_null = 0)
```
Arguments

t_test_paired 55

Details

This function is primarily designed for speed in simulation. Missing values are silently excluded.

The one-sample test is used for both the true one-sample scenario and for the paired differences from a dependent two-sample scenario. Below we use paired difference language as that is the most common case. The hypotheses for the paired t-test are

$$
H_{null}: \mu_{diff} = \mu_{null}
$$

\n
$$
H_{alt}: \begin{cases} \mu_{diff} \neq \mu_{null} & \text{two-sided} \\ \mu_{diff} > \mu_{null} & \text{greater than} \\ \mu_{diff} < \mu_{null} & \text{less than} \end{cases}
$$

where $\mu_{diff} = AM(X_2 - X_1)$ is the arithmetic mean of the paired differences (sample 2 - sample 1) and μ_{null} is a constant for the assumed population mean difference (usually $\mu_{null} = 0$). The test statistic is

$$
T = \frac{\bar{x}_{diff} - \mu_{null}}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n}}}
$$

where \bar{x}_{diff} is the sample mean of the differences, μ_{null} is the population mean difference assumed under the null hypothesis, *n* is the sample size of the differences, and s^2 is the sample variance.

The critical value of the test statistic has degrees of freedom

$$
df = n - 1
$$

and the p-value is calculated as

$$
p = \begin{cases} 2\min\{P(T \ge t_{n-1} \mid H_{null}), P(T \le t_{n-1} \mid H_{null})\} & \text{two-sided} \\ P(T \ge t_{n-1} \mid H_{null}) & \text{greater than} \\ P(T \le t_{n-1} \mid H_{null}) & \text{less than} \end{cases}
$$

Let $GM(\cdot)$ be the geometric mean and $AM(\cdot)$ be the arithmetic mean. For dependent lognormal samples X_1 and X_2 it follows that $\ln X_1$ and $\ln X_2$ are dependent normally distributed variables. Setting $\mu_{diff} = AM(\ln X_2 - \ln X_1)$ we have

$$
e^{\mu_{diff}} = GM\left(\frac{X_2}{X_1}\right)
$$

This forms the basis for making inference about the geometric mean ratio of the original lognormal data using the mean difference of the log transformed normal data.

Value

A list with the following elements:

References

Julious SA (2004). "Sample sizes for clinical trials with Normal data." *Statistics in Medicine*, 23(12), 1921–1986. [doi:10.1002/sim.1783.](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1783)

Hauschke D, Steinijans VW, Diletti E, Burke M (1992). "Sample size determination for bioequivalence assessment using a multiplicative model." *Journal of Pharmacokinetics and Biopharmaceutics*, 20(5), 557–561. ISSN 0090-466X, [doi:10.1007/BF01061471.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01061471)

Johnson NL, Kotz S, Balakrishnan N (1994). *Continuous univariate distributions*, Wiley series in probability and mathematical statistics, 2nd ed edition. Wiley, New York. ISBN 9780471584957 9780471584940.

See Also

[stats::t.test\(\)](#page-0-0)

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# t_test_paired() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
# One-sample t-test
set.seed(1234)
t_test1 <- sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 40,
 ratio = 1.5,
 cv1 = 0.4) |>
 t_test_paired(ci_level = 0.95)
t_test1
```


t_test_welch 57

```
# Paired t-test using two dependent samples
set.seed(1234)
t_test2 <- sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 40,
 n2 = 40,ratio = 1.5,
 cv1 = 0.4,
 cv2 = 0.2,
 cor = 0.3) |>
  t_test_paired(ci_level = 0.95)
```
t_test2

t_test_welch *Welch's t-Test*

Description

Performs Welch's independent two-sample t-test.

Usage

```
t_test_welch(data, alternative = "two.sided", ci_level = NULL, mean_null = 0)
```
Arguments

Details

This function is primarily designed for speed in simulation. Missing values are silently excluded. The hypotheses for Welch's independent two-sample t-test are

$$
H_{null}: \mu_2 - \mu_1 = \mu_{null}
$$

\n
$$
H_{alt}: \begin{cases} \mu_2 - \mu_1 \neq \mu_{null} & \text{two-sided} \\ \mu_2 - \mu_1 > \mu_{null} & \text{greater than} \\ \mu_2 - \mu_1 < \mu_{null} & \text{less than} \end{cases}
$$

where μ_1 is the population mean of group 1, μ_2 is the population mean of group 2, and μ_{null} is a constant for the assumed difference of population means (usually $\mu_{null} = 0$).

The test statistic is

$$
T = \frac{(\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1) - \mu_{null}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}
$$

where \bar{x}_1 and \bar{x}_2 are the sample means, μ_{null} is the difference of population means assumed under the null hypothesis, n_1 and n_2 are the sample sizes, and s_1^2 and s_2^2 are the sample variances.

The critical value of the test statistic uses the Welch–Satterthwaite degrees of freedom

$$
v = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{(N_1 - 1)^{-1} \left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1}\right)^2 + (N_2 - 1)^{-1} \left(\frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}
$$

and the p-value is calculated as

$$
p = \begin{cases} 2\min\{P(T \ge t_v \mid H_{null}), P(T \le t_v \mid H_{null})\} & \text{two-sided} \\ P(T \ge t_v \mid H_{null}) & \text{greater than} \\ P(T \le t_v \mid H_{null}) & \text{less than} \end{cases}
$$

Let $GM(\cdot)$ be the geometric mean and $AM(\cdot)$ be the arithmetic mean. For independent lognormal variables X_1 and X_2 it follows that $\ln X_1$ and $\ln X_2$ are independent normally distributed variables. Defining $\mu_{X_2} - \mu_{X_1} = AM(\ln X_2) - AM(\ln X_1)$ we have

$$
e^{\mu_{X_2} - \mu_{X_1}} = \frac{GM(X_2)}{GM(X_1)}
$$

This forms the basis for making inference about the ratio of geometric means of the original lognormal data using the difference of means of the log transformed normal data.

t_test_welch 59

Value

A list with the following elements:

References

Julious SA (2004). "Sample sizes for clinical trials with Normal data." *Statistics in Medicine*, 23(12), 1921–1986. [doi:10.1002/sim.1783.](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1783)

Hauschke D, Steinijans VW, Diletti E, Burke M (1992). "Sample size determination for bioequivalence assessment using a multiplicative model." *Journal of Pharmacokinetics and Biopharmaceutics*, 20(5), 557–561. ISSN 0090-466X, [doi:10.1007/BF01061471.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01061471)

Johnson NL, Kotz S, Balakrishnan N (1994). *Continuous univariate distributions*, Wiley series in probability and mathematical statistics, 2nd ed edition. Wiley, New York. ISBN 9780471584957 9780471584940.

See Also

[stats::t.test\(\)](#page-0-0)

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# t_test_welch() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
# Welch's t-test
set.seed(1234)
sim_log_lognormal(
 n1 = 40,
 n2 = 40,
  ratio = 1.5,
  cv1 = 0.4,
```

```
cv2 = 0.4) |>
  t_test_welch(ci_level = 0.95)
```
wald_test_bnb *Wald test for BNB ratio of means*

Description

Wald test for the ratio of means from bivariate negative binomial outcomes.

Usage

```
wald_test_bnb(data, ci_level = NULL, link = "log", ratio_null = 1, ...)
```
Arguments

Details

This function is primarily designed for speed in simulation. Missing values are silently excluded.

Suppose $X_1 \mid G = g \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu g)$ and $X_2 \mid G = g \sim \text{Poisson}(r \mu g)$ where $G \sim \text{Gamma}(\theta, \theta^{-1})$ is the random item (subject) effect. Then $X_1, X_2 \sim BNB(\mu, r, \theta)$ is the joint distribution where X_1 and X_2 are dependent (though conditionally independent), X_1 is the count outcome for sample 1 of the items (subjects), X_2 is the count outcome for sample 2 of the items (subjects), μ is the conditional mean of sample 1, r is the ratio of the conditional means of sample 2 with respect to sample 1, and θ is the gamma distribution shape parameter which controls the dispersion and the correlation between sample 1 and 2.

The hypotheses for the Wald test of r are

$$
H_{null}: f(r) = f(r_{null})
$$

$$
H_{alt}: f(r) \neq f(r_{null})
$$

where $f(\cdot)$ is a one-to-one link function with nonzero derivative, $r = \frac{\bar{X}_2}{\bar{X}_1}$ is the population ratio of arithmetic means for sample 2 with respect to sample 1, and r_{null} is a constant for the assumed null population ratio of means (typically $r_{null} = 1$).

Rettiganti and Nagaraja (2012) found that $f(r) = r^2$, $f(r) = r$, and $f(r) = r^{0.5}$ had greatest power when $r < 1$. However, when $r > 1$, $f(r) = \ln r$, the likelihood ratio test, and $f(r) = r^{0.5}$ had greatest power. $f(r) = r^2$ was biased when $r > 1$. Both $f(r) = \ln r$ and $f(r) = r^{0.5}$ produced acceptable results for any r value. These results depend on the use of asymptotic vs. exact critical values.

The Wald test statistic is

$$
W(f(\hat{r})) = \left(\frac{f\left(\frac{\bar{x}_2}{\bar{x}_1}\right) - f(r_{null})}{f'(\hat{r})\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{r}}}\right)^2
$$

where

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{r}}^2 = \frac{\hat{r}(1+\hat{r})(\hat{\mu}+\hat{r}\hat{\mu}+\hat{\theta})}{n\left[\hat{\mu}(1+\hat{r})(\hat{\mu}+\hat{\theta})-\hat{\theta}\hat{r}\right]}
$$

Under H_{null} , the Wald test statistic is asymptotically distributed as χ_1^2 . The approximate level α test rejects H_{null} if $W(f(\hat{r})) \geq \chi_1^2(1-\alpha)$. Note that the asymptotic critical value is known to underestimate the exact critical value. Hence, the nominal significance level may not be achieved for small sample sizes (possibly $n \le 10$ or $n \le 50$). The level of significance inflation also depends on $f(\cdot)$ and is most severe for $f(r) = r^2$, where only the exact critical value is recommended.

Value

A list with the following elements:

References

Rettiganti M, Nagaraja HN (2012). "Power Analyses for Negative Binomial Models with Application to Multiple Sclerosis Clinical Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 22(2), 237–259. ISSN 1054-3406, 1520-5711, [doi:10.1080/10543406.2010.528105.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2010.528105)

Aban IB, Cutter GR, Mavinga N (2009). "Inferences and power analysis concerning two negative binomial distributions with an application to MRI lesion counts data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(3), 820–833. ISSN 01679473, [doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034)

Examples

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# wald_test_bnb() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
set.seed(1234)
sim_bnb(
 n = 40,
 mean1 = 10,
  ratio = 1.2,
  dispersion = 2
) |>
  wald_test_bnb()
```
wald_test_nb *Wald test for NB ratio of means*

Description

Wald test for the ratio of means from two independent negative binomial outcomes.

Usage

```
wald_test_nb(
  data,
  equal_dispersion = FALSE,
  ci_level = NULL,
  link = "log",ratio\_null = 1,
  ...
)
```
Arguments

Details

This function is primarily designed for speed in simulation. Missing values are silently excluded.

Suppose $X_1 \sim NB(\mu, \theta_1)$ and $X_2 \sim NB(r\mu, \theta_2)$ where X_1 and X_2 are independent, X_1 is the count outcome for items in group 1, X_2 is the count outcome for items in group 2, μ is the arithmetic mean count in group 1, r is the ratio of arithmetic means for group 2 with respect to group 1, θ_1 is the dispersion parameter of group 1, and θ_2 is the dispersion parameter of group 2.

The hypotheses for the Wald test of r are

```
H_{null}: f(r) = f(r_{null})H_{alt}: f(r) \neq f(r_{null})
```
where $f(\cdot)$ is a one-to-one link function with nonzero derivative, $r = \frac{\bar{X}_2}{\bar{X}_1}$ is the population ratio of arithmetic means for group 2 with respect to group 1, and r_{null} is a constant for the assumed null population ratio of means (typically $r_{null} = 1$).

Rettiganti and Nagaraja (2012) found that $f(r) = r^2$ and $f(r) = r$ had greatest power when $r < 1$. However, when $r > 1$, $f(r) = \ln r$, the likelihood ratio test, and the Rao score test have greatest power. Note that $f(r) = \ln r$, LRT, and RST were unbiased tests while the $f(r) = r$ and $f(r) = r^2$ tests were biased when $r > 1$. The $f(r) = \ln r$, LRT, and RST produced acceptable results for any r value. These results depend on the use of asymptotic vs. exact critical values.

The Wald test statistic is

$$
W(f(\hat{r})) = \left(\frac{f\left(\frac{\bar{x}_2}{\bar{x}_1}\right) - f(r_{null})}{f'(\hat{r})\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{r}}}\right)^2
$$

where

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{r}}^2 = \frac{\hat{r} \left[n_1 \hat{\theta}_1 (\hat{r}\hat{\mu} + \hat{\theta}_2) + n_2 \hat{\theta}_2 \hat{r} (\hat{\mu} + \hat{\theta}_1) \right]}{n_1 n_2 \hat{\theta}_1 \hat{\theta}_2 \hat{\mu}}
$$

Under H_{null} , the Wald test statistic is asymptotically distributed as χ_1^2 . The approximate level α test rejects H_{null} if $W(f(\hat{r})) \geq \chi_1^2(1-\alpha)$. Note that the asymptotic critical value is known to underestimate the exact critical value. Hence, the nominal significance level may not be achieved for small sample sizes (possibly $n \leq 10$ or $n \leq 50$). The level of significance inflation also depends on $f(\cdot)$ and is most severe for $f(r) = r^2$, where only the exact critical value is recommended.

Value

A list with the following elements:

References

Rettiganti M, Nagaraja HN (2012). "Power Analyses for Negative Binomial Models with Application to Multiple Sclerosis Clinical Trials." *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 22(2), 237–259. ISSN 1054-3406, 1520-5711, [doi:10.1080/10543406.2010.528105.](https://doi.org/10.1080/10543406.2010.528105)

wald_test_nb 65

Aban IB, Cutter GR, Mavinga N (2009). "Inferences and power analysis concerning two negative binomial distributions with an application to MRI lesion counts data." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 53(3), 820–833. ISSN 01679473, [doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2008.07.034)

```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# wald_test_nb() examples
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
library(depower)
set.seed(1234)
sim_nb(
n1 = 60,
 n2 = 40,
 mean1 = 10,
 ratio = 1.5,
 dispersion1 = 2,
  dispersion2 = 8
) |>
  wald_test_nb()
```
Index

base::call(), *[32](#page-31-0)* ggplot2::aes(), *[28](#page-27-0)* ggplot2::facet_grid(), *[28](#page-27-0)* ggplot2::ggplot(), *[29](#page-28-0)* glm_nb, [8](#page-7-0) glmm_bnb, [2](#page-1-0) glmm_poisson, [5](#page-4-0) glmmTMB::glmmTMB(), *[3,](#page-2-0) [4](#page-3-0)*, *[6,](#page-5-0) [7](#page-6-0)*, *[9](#page-8-0)*, *[11](#page-10-0)* lrt_bnb, [12](#page-11-0) lrt_nb, [14](#page-13-0) mle_bnb, [16](#page-15-0) mle_bnb(), *[12](#page-11-0)*, *[60](#page-59-0)* mle_bnb_alt *(*mle_bnb*)*, [16](#page-15-0) mle_bnb_null *(*mle_bnb*)*, [16](#page-15-0) mle_nb, [19](#page-18-0) mle_nb(), *[14](#page-13-0)*, *[63](#page-62-0)* mle_nb_alt *(*mle_nb*)*, [19](#page-18-0) mle_nb_null *(*mle_nb*)*, [19](#page-18-0) mvnfast::rmvn(), *[47](#page-46-0)* NA, *[2](#page-1-0)*, *[6](#page-5-0)*, *[9](#page-8-0)*, *[12](#page-11-0)*, *[14](#page-13-0)*, *[17](#page-16-0)*, *[19](#page-18-0)*, *[22](#page-21-0)*, *[24](#page-23-0)*, *[54](#page-53-0)*, *[57](#page-56-0)*, *[60](#page-59-0)*, *[63](#page-62-0)* nll_bnb, *[18](#page-17-0)*, [22](#page-21-0) nll_bnb_alt *(*nll_bnb*)*, [22](#page-21-0) nll_bnb_null *(*nll_bnb*)*, [22](#page-21-0) nll_nb, *[21](#page-20-0)*, [24](#page-23-0) nll_nb_alt *(*nll_nb*)*, [24](#page-23-0) nll_nb_null *(*nll_nb*)*, [24](#page-23-0) parallel::detectCores(), *[31](#page-30-0)*, *[37](#page-36-0)*, *[44](#page-43-0)*, *[50](#page-49-0)* plot.depower, [27](#page-26-0) plot.depower(), *[34](#page-33-0)*

power, [31](#page-30-0) power(), *[27](#page-26-0)[–29](#page-28-0)* sim_bnb, [36](#page-35-0) sim_bnb(), *[2,](#page-1-0) [3](#page-2-0)*, *[6](#page-5-0)*, *[12](#page-11-0)*, *[17,](#page-16-0) [18](#page-17-0)*, *[31](#page-30-0)*, *[49](#page-48-0)*, *[51,](#page-50-0) [52](#page-51-0)*, *[60](#page-59-0)* sim_log_lognormal, [42](#page-41-0)

sim_log_lognormal(), *[31](#page-30-0)*, *[54](#page-53-0)*, *[57](#page-56-0)* sim_nb, [49](#page-48-0) sim_nb(), *[9](#page-8-0)*, *[14](#page-13-0)*, *[19](#page-18-0)*, *[21](#page-20-0)*, *[23](#page-22-0)*, *[26](#page-25-0)*, *[31](#page-30-0)*, *[36](#page-35-0)*, *[39,](#page-38-0) [40](#page-39-0)*, *[63](#page-62-0)* stats::nlm(), *[17](#page-16-0)*, *[20](#page-19-0)*, *[23](#page-22-0)*, *[26](#page-25-0)* stats::nlminb(), *[17](#page-16-0)*, *[20](#page-19-0)*, *[23](#page-22-0)*, *[26](#page-25-0)* stats::optim(), *[17](#page-16-0)*, *[20](#page-19-0)*, *[23](#page-22-0)*, *[26](#page-25-0)* stats::rgamma(), *[40](#page-39-0)* stats::rnbinom(), *[40](#page-39-0)*, *[52](#page-51-0)* stats::rnorm(), *[47](#page-46-0)* stats::rpois(), *[40](#page-39-0)* stats::t.test(), *[56](#page-55-0)*, *[59](#page-58-0)* t_test_paired, [54](#page-53-0) t_test_paired(), *[33](#page-32-0)* t_test_welch, [57](#page-56-0) t_test_welch(), *[33](#page-32-0)* wald_test_bnb, [60](#page-59-0) wald_test_nb, [62](#page-61-0)