# Package 'MethodCompare'

February 9, 2025
Title Evaluating Bias and Precision in Method Comparison Studies
Version 1.1.0
<b>Description</b> Evaluate bias and precision in method comparison studies. One provides measurements for each method and it takes care of the estimates. Multiple plots to evaluate bias, precision and compare methods.
License GPL (>= 3)
Encoding UTF-8
RoxygenNote 7.3.1
Imports estimatr, graphics, lme4, Matrix, mfp, rockchalk, stats
<b>Depends</b> R (>= 2.10)
LazyData true
<pre>URL https://github.com/UBERLULU/MethodCompare</pre>
BugReports https://github.com/UBERLULU/MethodCompare/issues
NeedsCompilation no
Author Thomas Blomet [aut, cre], Mingkai Peng [aut], Patrick Taffé [aut], Tyler Williamson [aut]
Maintainer Thomas Blomet <thomas.blomet@alumni.epfl.ch></thomas.blomet@alumni.epfl.ch>
Repository CRAN
<b>Date/Publication</b> 2025-02-09 14:00:02 UTC
Contents
agreement0          agreement1          bias_plot          compare_plot          data1

2 agreement0

data2		•		•	•	•		•		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
data3			 									 														7
measure_compare																										8
mse																										9
pct_agreement0 .			 									 														10
pct_agreement1 .																										10
precision_plot																										11
sqrt_mse																										12
total_bias_plot																										12

Index 14

agreement0

Plot the agreement before recalibration

# **Description**

This function draws the "agreement plot" before recalibration, which is used to visually appraise the degree of agreement between the new and reference methods, before recalibration of the new method. It is obtained by graphing a scatter plot of y1-y2 (difference of the methods) versus the BLUP of the latent trait, x, along with the bias and 95% limits of agreement with their 95% simultaneous confidence bands. The function adds a second scale on the right axis, showing the percentage of agreement index.

# Usage

```
agreement0(object, rarea = FALSE)
```

# Arguments

object list returned by measure\_compare function.

rarea if TRUE, draw the plot with shading areas between the confidence bands.

```
### Load the data
data(data1)
### Analysis
measure_model <- measure_compare(data1, nb_simul=100)
### Plot the agreement without recalibration
agreement0(measure_model)</pre>
```

agreement1 3

agreement1

Plot the agreement after recalibration

# **Description**

This function draws the "agreement plot" after recalibration, which is used to visually appraise the degree of agreement between the new and reference methods, before recalibration of the new method. It is obtained by graphing a scatter plot of y1-y2 (difference of the methods) versus the BLUP of the latent trait, x, along with the bias and 95% limits of agreement with their 95% simultaneous confidence bands. The function adds a second scale on the right axis, showing the percentage of agreement index.

# Usage

```
agreement1(object, rarea = FALSE)
```

### **Arguments**

object list returned by measure\_compare function.

rarea if TRUE, draw the plot with shading areas between the confidence bands.

# **Examples**

```
### Load the data
data(data1)
### Analysis
measure_model <- measure_compare(data1, nb_simul=100)
### Plot the agreement after recalibration
agreement0(measure_model)</pre>
```

bias\_plot

Plot the bias and measurements

# **Description**

This function draws the "bias plot", which is used to visually assess the bias of the new method relative to the reference method. It is obtained by graphing a scatter plot of y1 (new method) and y2 (reference method) versus the BLUP of the latent trait, x, along with the two regression lines. The function adds a second scale on the right axis, showing the relationship between the estimated amount of bias and BLUP of the latent trait, x.

# Usage

```
bias_plot(object)
```

4 compare\_plot

# **Arguments**

object list returned by measure\_compare function.

# **Examples**

```
### Load the data
data(data1)
### Analysis
measure_model <- measure_compare(data1, nb_simul=100)
### Plot the bias
bias_plot(measure_model)</pre>
```

compare\_plot

Plot used to visualize the recalibration of the new method after estimating the bias

# Description

This function allows the visualization of the bias-corrected values (i.e. recalibrated values, variable  $y1\_corr$ ) of the new measurement method.

# Usage

```
compare_plot(object)
```

# **Arguments**

object list returned by measure\_compare function.

```
### Load the data
data(data1)
### Analysis
measure_model <- measure_compare(data1, nb_simul=100)
### Plot the bias
compare_plot(measure_model)</pre>
```

data1 5

data1

Simulated dataset 1

# **Description**

In the simulated dataset 1, each subject has 1 to 3 measurement values from the new method and 10 to 20 measurement values from the reference method. Compared to the reference method, the new method has differential bias of 4 and proportional bias of 0.8. Variance of the new method is smaller than that for the reference method.

# Usage

data1

#### **Format**

data1:

An object of class data. frame with 1468 rows and 3 columns

# **Details**

A data frame with 3 variables:

id identification number for subjects

y1 values from the new measuremment method

y2 values from the reference method

Dataset 1 was created based on the following equations:

$$y_{1i} = 4 + 0.8x_i + \varepsilon_{1i}, \quad \varepsilon_{1i} \mid x_i \sim N(0, (0.2x_i)^2)$$
  
 $y_{2i} = x_i + \varepsilon_{2i}, \quad \varepsilon_{2i} \mid x_i \sim N(0, (1.75 + 0.08x_i)^2)$   
 $x_i \sim Uniform[25 - 45]$ 

for  $i=1,\ldots,100$  and the number of repeated measurements for each subject i from the reference standard was  $n_{2i} \sim Uniform[10,20]$  and  $n_{1i} \sim Uniform[1,3]$  for the new measurement method.

6 data2

data2

Simulated dataset 2

# **Description**

In the simulated dataset 2, each subject has 10 to 20 measurement values from the new method and 10 to 20 measurement values from the reference method. Compared to the reference method, the new method has differential bias of 4 and proportional bias of 0.8. Variance of the new method is smaller than that for the reference method.

### Usage

data2

#### **Format**

data2

An object of class data. frame with 1680 rows and 3 columns

### **Details**

A data frame with 3 variables:

id identification number for subjects

y1 values from the new measuremment method

y2 values from the reference method

Dataset 1 was created based on the following equations:

$$y_{1i} = 4 + 0.8x_i + \varepsilon_{1i}, \quad \varepsilon_{1i} \mid x_i \sim N(0, (0.2x_i)^2)$$
  
 $y_{2i} = x_i + \varepsilon_{2i}, \quad \varepsilon_{2i} \mid x_i \sim N(0, (1.75 + 0.08x_i)^2)$   
 $x_i \sim Uniform[20 - 100]$ 

for  $i=1,\ldots,100$  and the number of repeated measurements for each subject i from the reference standard was  $n_{2i} \sim Uniform[10,20]$  and  $n_{1i} \sim Uniform[10,20]$  for the new measurement method.

data3

data3

Simulated dataset 3

# **Description**

In the simulated dataset 3, each subject has 10 to 20 measurement values from the new method and 10 to 20 measurement values from the reference method. Compared to the reference method, the new method has differential bias of 1 and proportional bias of 0.9. Variance of the new method is smaller than that for the reference method.

# Usage

data3

#### **Format**

data3

An object of class data. frame with 1682 rows and 3 columns

### **Details**

A data frame with 3 variables:

id identification number for subjects

y1 values from the new measuremment method

y2 values from the reference method

Dataset 1 was created based on the following equations:

$$y_{1i} = 1 + 0.9x_i + \varepsilon_{1i}, \quad \varepsilon_{1i} \mid x_i \sim N(0, (1 + 0.04x_i)^2)$$
  
 $y_{2i} = x_i + \varepsilon_{2i}, \quad \varepsilon_{2i} \mid x_i \sim N(0, (1.75 + 0.08x_i)^2)$   
 $x_i \sim Uniform[20 - 100]$ 

for  $i=1,\ldots,100$  and the number of repeated measurements for each subject i from the reference standard was  $n_{2i} \sim Uniform[10,20]$  and  $n_{1i} \sim Uniform[10,20]$  for the new measurement method.

8 measure\_compare

measure\_compare Estimation of the amount of bias of the new measurement method relative to the reference method

### **Description**

measure\_compare() implements the methodology reported in the paper: Taffé P. Effective plots to assess bias and precision in method comparison studies. Stat Methods Med Res 2018;27:1650-1660. Other relevant references: Taffé P, Peng M, Stagg V, Williamson T. Biasplot: A package to effective plots to assess bias and precision in method comparison studies. Stata J 2017;17:208-221. Taffé P, Peng M, Stagg V, Williamson T. MethodCompare: An R package to assess bias and precision in method comparison studies. Stat Methods Med Res 2019;28:2557-2565. Taffé P, Halfon P, Halfon M. A new statistical methodology to assess bias and precision overcomes the defects of the Bland & Altman method. J Clin Epidemiol 2020;124:1-7. Taffé P. Assessing bias, precision, and agreement in method comparison studies. Stat Methods Med Res 2020;29:778-796. Taffé P. When can the Bland-Altman limits of agreement method be used and when it should not be used. J Clin Epidemiol 2021; 137:176-181.

# Usage

```
measure_compare(
  data,
  new = "y1",
  ref = "y2",
  id = "id",
  nb_simul = 1000,
  if_value = NULL
)
```

# **Arguments**

data	a required data frame containing the identification number of the subject (id), the measurement values from the new method $(y1)$ and those from the reference method $(y2)$ .
new	an optional string. The column name containing the measurements of the new measurement method.
ref	an optional string. The column name containing the measurements of the reference method (at least two measurements per subject).
id	an optional string. The column name containing the subject identification numbers.
nb_simul	an optional number. The number of simulations used for simultaneous confidence bands.
if_value	an optional number. Restrict the study to observed measurement greater than the provided value, i.e., $y1 \ge if_value \& y2 \ge if_value$ .

mse 9

#### Value

The function returns a list with the following items:

- models: a list of models fitted in estimation procedure
- data: the original data frame with renamed columns and additional computed data
- sim\_params: estimated model coefficients used afterward
- nb\_simul: the number of simulations used for confidence bands simulations
- bias: differential and proportional biases for new method and the associated 95 percent confidence intervals
- methods: a list of methods names provided by the user

# **Examples**

```
### Load the data
data(data1)
### Analysis
measure_model <- measure_compare(data1, nb_simul=100)</pre>
```

mse

Plot the mean squared errors

# **Description**

This function draws the "MSE plot", which is used to compare the precision of the two measurement methods without recalibrating the new method. It is obtained by graphing the mean squared errors of y1 (new method) and y2 (reference method) versus the BLUP of the latent trait, x, along with their 95% simultaneous confidence bands.

# Usage

```
mse(object, rarea = FALSE)
```

# **Arguments**

object list returned by measure\_compare function.

rarea if TRUE, draw the plot with shading areas between the confidence bands.

```
### Load the data
data(data1)
### Analysis
measure_model <- measure_compare(data1, nb_simul=100)
### Plot the mean squared errors
mse(measure_model)</pre>
```

pct\_agreement1

pct\_agreement0

Plot the percentage agreement before recalibration

### **Description**

This function draws the "percentage agreement plot" before recalibration, which shows the amount of percentage agreement. It is obtained by graphing the percentage agreement index before recalibration versus the BLUP of the latent trait, x, along with its 95% simultaneous confidence bands.

# Usage

```
pct_agreement0(object)
```

### **Arguments**

object

list returned by measure\_compare function.

# **Examples**

```
### Load the data
data(data1)
### Analysis
measure_model <- measure_compare(data1, nb_simul=100)
### Plot the percentage agreement without recalibration
pct_agreement0(measure_model)</pre>
```

pct\_agreement1

Plot the percentage agreement after recalibration

# **Description**

This function draws the "percentage agreement plot" after recalibration, which shows the amount of percentage agreement. It is obtained by graphing the percentage agreement index after recalibration versus the BLUP of the latent trait, x, along with its 95% simultaneous confidence bands.

# Usage

```
pct_agreement1(object)
```

# **Arguments**

object

list returned by measure\_compare function.

precision\_plot 11

# **Examples**

```
### Load the data
data(data1)
### Analysis
measure_model <- measure_compare(data1, nb_simul=100)
### Plot the percentage agreement after recalibration
pct_agreement0(measure_model)</pre>
```

precision\_plot

Plot the precision of the methods

# Description

This function draws the "precision plot", which allows the visual comparison of the precision (i.e. standard deviation) of the new measurement method with the reference standard by creating a scatter plot of the estimated standard deviations, along with their 95% simultaneous confidence bands, against the best linear prediction (BLUP) of the true latent trait, x.

# Usage

```
precision_plot(object, object2 = NULL, log = FALSE, rarea = FALSE)
```

# **Arguments**

object list returned by measure\_compare function.

object2 (optional) returned by measure\_compare function. If provided, will plot a sec-

ond precision estimate.

log if TRUE, guarantee the simultaneous confidence bands around the standard devi-

ation of measurement errors to be strictly positive.

rarea if TRUE, draw the plot with shading areas between the confidence bands.

```
### Load the data
data(data1)
### Analysis
measure_model <- measure_compare(data1, nb_simul=100)
### Plot the precision of the two methods
precision_plot(measure_model)</pre>
```

12 total\_bias\_plot

sqrt\_mse

Plot the square root of the mean squared errors

### **Description**

This function draws the "sqrt(MSE) plot", which is used to compare the precision of the two measurement methods without recalibrating the new method. It is obtained by graphing the square root mean squared errors of y1 (new method) and y2 (reference method) versus the BLUP of the latent trait, x, along with their 95% simultaneous confidence bands.

# Usage

```
sqrt_mse(object, rarea = FALSE)
```

# Arguments

object list returned by measure\_compare function.

rarea if TRUE, draw the plot with shading areas between the confidence bands.

# Examples

```
### Load the data
data(data1)
### Analysis
measure_model <- measure_compare(data1, nb_simul=100)
### Plot the square root mean squared errors
sqrt_mse(measure_model)</pre>
```

total\_bias\_plot

Plot total bias

# **Description**

This function draws the "total bias plot", which is used to visually assess the amount of bias. It is obtained by graphing the bias versus the BLUP of the latent trait, x, along with the 95% simultaneous confidence bands.

# Usage

```
total_bias_plot(object, object2 = NULL, rarea = FALSE)
```

### **Arguments**

object list returned by measure\_compare function.

object2 (optional) returned by measure\_compare function. If provided, will plot a sec-

ond total bias estimate.

rarea if TRUE, draw the plot with shading areas between the confidence bands.

total\_bias\_plot 13

```
### Load the data
data(data1)
### Analysis
measure_model <- measure_compare(data1, nb_simul=100)
### Plot the total bias
total_bias_plot(measure_model)</pre>
```

# **Index**

```
\ast datasets
     data1,5
     data2, 6
     data3, 7
{\tt agreement0}, {\color{red}2}
agreement1, 3
bias_plot, 3
\verb|compare_plot|, 4
data1,5
data2, 6
data3,7
\texttt{measure\_compare}, \textbf{2--4}, \textbf{8}, \textbf{9--12}
mse, 9
pct\_agreement0, 10
pct\_agreement1, 10
precision\_plot, 11
sqrt_mse, 12
total_bias_plot, 12
```